





Blood 142 (2023) 4926-4928

The 65th ASH Annual Meeting Abstracts

# POSTER ABSTRACTS

### 722.ALLOGENEIC TRANSPLANTATION: ACUTE AND CHRONIC GVHD, IMMUNE RECONSTITUTION

## Checkpoint Inhibitors and Allo-SCT in Hodgkin Lymphoma: A Matter of Time - a Study By the SFGM-TC

Eléonore Kaphan, MD<sup>1</sup>, François Bettega<sup>2</sup>, David Michonneau, MD<sup>1</sup>, Mariela Catalina Montes De Oca, MD<sup>3</sup>, Nathalie Fegueux, MD<sup>4</sup>, Marie Robin, MD<sup>5</sup>, Ali Bazarbachi, MD PhD<sup>6</sup>, Stéphanie Nguyen Quoc, MD PhD<sup>7</sup>, David Beauvais, MD<sup>8</sup>, Edouard Forcade, MD PhD<sup>9</sup>, Patrice Chevallier, MD<sup>10</sup>, Michael Loschi, MD<sup>11</sup>, Anne Huynh, MD<sup>12</sup>, Jacques-Olivier Bay, MD PhD<sup>13</sup>, Marie Thérèse Rubio, MD PhD<sup>14</sup>, Felipe Suarez, MDPhD<sup>15</sup>, Xavier Poiré, MD<sup>16</sup>, Sylvie François, MD<sup>17</sup>, Déborah Desmier, MD<sup>18</sup>, Nathalie Contentin, MD<sup>19</sup>, Amandine Charbonnier, MD<sup>20</sup>, Jerome Cornillon, MD<sup>21</sup>, Sylvain Chantepie, MD<sup>22</sup>, Pascal Turlure, MD<sup>23</sup>, Claude-Eric Bulabois, MD<sup>24</sup>, Alban Villate, MD<sup>25</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Saint-Louis Hospital, Paris, France

<sup>2</sup>Grenoble Hospital, Grenoble, France

<sup>3</sup>Paoli Calmettes Institut, Marseille, France

<sup>4</sup>Montpellier Hospital, Montpellier, FRA

<sup>5</sup>Hopital Saint-Louis, Paris, France

<sup>6</sup>American University of Beirut Dept. of Medicine, Beirut, Lebanon

<sup>7</sup>Hematology Department, Sorbonne Université, Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière, APHP, Paris, France

<sup>8</sup>CHU Lille, Infinite U1286, INSERM, Lille, FRA

<sup>9</sup>Service d'Hématologie Clinique et Thérapie Cellulaire, CHU Bordeaux, Pessac, France

<sup>10</sup> Service d'hématologie, CHU de Nantes, Nantes, France

<sup>11</sup> Service d'hématologie, CHU de Nice, Nice, France

<sup>12</sup>Institut Universitaire du Cancer Toulouse - Oncopole, Toulouse, France

<sup>13</sup>Service d'hématologie, CHU Clermont-Ferrand, Clermont-Ferrand, France

<sup>14</sup>Nancy University Hospital, Vandoeuvre Les Nancy, FRA

<sup>15</sup>Necker Hospital (APHP), Paris, FRA

<sup>16</sup>Section of hematology, Institut Roi Albert II, Cliniques Universitaires St-Luc, Brussels, Belgium

<sup>17</sup> Maladies du sang, CHU Angers, Angers, France

<sup>18</sup> Poitiers Hospital, Poitiers, FRA

<sup>19</sup>Centre Henry Becquerel, Rouen, France

<sup>20</sup> Service d'Hématologie Clinique, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Amiens-Picardie, Amiens, France

<sup>21</sup> Département d'hématologie clinique et de thérapie cellulaire, CHU de St-Étienne, Saint-Étienne, France

<sup>22</sup>Institut d'Hématologie, CHU de Caen, Caen, France

<sup>23</sup> Service d'Hématologie Clinique, CHU de Limoges, Limoges, France

<sup>24</sup> Hématologie Soins Intensifs, CHU Grenoble Alpes, Grenoble, France

<sup>25</sup>CHRU Bretonneau, Tours, FRA

**Introduction**: Allogeneic stem cell transplantation (allo-SCT) for patients with relapsed/refractory Hodgkin lymphoma (r/R HL) after autologous transplantation is now an established option. Many patients receive Check-Point Inhibitors (CPI) as relapse treatment. Nevertheless, concerns have been previously reported on higher risk of acute graft versus host disease (aGVHD) after CPI exposure, without any control group to elucidate risk factors.

**Methods:** We conducted a multicentric retrospective case control study on behalf of the Francophone Society for Bone Marrow Transplantation and Cell Therapy to compare outcomes after allo-SCT for patients with r/R HL who were exposed or not exposed to CPI.

**Results:** Between 2015 and 2018, 149 patients underwent allo-SCT for r/R HL in 21 centers. CPI was used for 50 patients (n=48 Nivolumab, n=2 Pembrolizumab). We did not identify any differences regarding baseline characteristics. Reduced intensity conditioning (RIC) was commonly used (90.2%), with Peripheral Blood Stem Cells (75.7%). A majority of patients had haploidentical (44.6%), followed by siblings (28.4%), matched unrelated (24.3%) and mismatched unrelated donors (2.7%).

#### POSTER ABSTRACTS

Cumulative incidence (CI) of aGVHD grade  $\geq$ II in the whole cohort was 39.6% and 14.8% developed a severe form (grade III-IV). There were no differences between CPI (n=50) and no CPI cohorts (n=99), regarding CI of aGVHD of any grade (respectively 58% and 57.6%, p=1.00), grade  $\geq$ II (respectively 36% and 41.4%, p=0.65), severe aGVHD (grade III-IV, respectively 12% and 16.2%, p=0.67) and chronic GVHD (respectively 26% and 34.3%, p=0.24), as described in **Table 1**. We did not observe excess of mortality from GVHD between both groups.

The time interval between the last CPI injection and allo-SCT was found to be the only significant factor associated with a higher incidence and severity of aGVHD, as depicted in **Figure 1**. The median time from the last CPI injection and allo-SCT was 50 days [33;103]. Specifically, within 30 days, the incidence of severe aGVHD was significantly increased at 41.7% compared to only 2.7% in the control group (p=0.002). No severe forms were observed after 60 days, but it persisted a higher risk of aGVHD grade  $\geq$ II even after 60 days of delay. Thus, a higher percentage of patients required systemic therapy for aGVHD within 120 days compared to after 120 days (45% vs 0% respectively, p=0.03). The delay of CPI treatment did not impact the CI and severity of chronic GVHD or CI of relapse after allo-SCT. Of note, post-transplant cyclophosphamide did not reduce CI of aGVHD. With a median follow-up of 34.7 months, the 2-year Overall Survival, Relapse Free Survival and GVHD free, Relapse Free Survival (GRFS) were respectively 69.9% (IC95 41.1-78.5), 67.1% (IC95 58.8-76.7) and 48.5% (IC95 40.3-58.4), without differences between CPI and no CPI cohorts. Two-year non relapse mortality was 17.4% in whole cohort, without hepatic sinusoidal obstruction syndrome nor steroid-requiring febrile syndrome in CPI cohort.

**Conclusion:** CPI use before allo-SCT within 60 days before allo-SCT can lead to significant severe aGVHD, with persisting higher risk of aGVHD grade  $\geq$ II even after 60 days of delay. This highlights the importance of exercising caution when administering CPI injections within 60 days before allo-SCT. There is need to develop GVHD prophylaxis strategies in this population at risk. The findings from this study may help inform clinical decision-making and management of HL patients undergoing allo-SCT.

**Disclosures Beauvais:** *Kite/Gilead:* Honoraria, Other: Advisory Board; *BMS:* Honoraria, Other: Advisory Board. **Forcade:** *Astellas:* Speakers Bureau; *Novartis:* Consultancy, Other: Travel support, Speakers Bureau; *Alexion:* Other: Travel support, Speakers Bureau; *Sanofi:* Speakers Bureau; *GSK:* Speakers Bureau; *Gilead Sciences:* Other: Travel support, Speakers Bureau; *MSD:* Other: Travel support. **Chevallier:** *Sanofi:* Honoraria; *Mallinckrodt Pharmaceuticals:* Honoraria; *Incyte:* Honoraria; *Research* Funding; *Takeda:* Honoraria; *Immedica Pharma:* Honoraria; *Servier:* Honoraria. **Loschi:** *Abbvie:* Honoraria; *Alexion:* Honoraria; *Astra Zeneca:* Honoraria; *BMS Celgene:* Honoraria; *Gilead:* Honoraria; *GSK:* Honoraria; *Jazz:* Honoraria; *Novartis:* Honoraria; *Pfizer:* Honoraria; *Servier:* Other: Advisory board; *Servier:* Other: Advisory board; *Neovii:* Other: Advisory board; *Jazz:* Other: Advisory board; *Novartis:* Other: Advisory board; *Novartis:* Other: Advisory board; *Neovii:* Other: Advisory board; *Jazz:* Other: Advisory board; *Novartis:* Other: Advisory board; *Novartis:* Other: Advisory board; *Neovii:* Other: Advisory board; *Novartis:* Other: Advisory board; *Neovii:* Other: Advisory board; *Neovii* 

	Overall N=149	CPI exposure N=50	No CPI exposure N=99	p.value
Acute GVHD (%)	86 (57.7)	29 (58.0)	57 (57.6)	1.00
Acute GVHD ≥II (%)	59 (39.6)	18 (36.0)	41 (41.4)	0.65
Severe grade GVHD (III-IV) (%)	22 (14.8)	6 (12.0)	16 (16.2)	0.67
Stage skin aGVHD (%):				0.37
1	26 (17.4)	9 (18.0)	17 (17.2)	
2	26 (17.4)	8 (16.0)	18 (18.2)	
3	22 (14.8)	8 (16.0)	14 (14.1)	
4	2 (1.3)	2 (4.0)	0 (0.0)	
Stage gut aGVHD (%):				0.62
1	7 (4.7)	2 (4.0)	5 (5.1)	
2	6 (4.0)	3 (6.0)	3 (3.0)	
3	2 (1.3)	1 (2.0)	1 (1.0)	
4	12 (8.1)	2 (4.0)	10 (10.1)	
Stage aGVHD liver (%):				0.30
1	6 (4.0)	4 (8.0)	2 (2.0)	
2	1 (0.7)	0 (0.0)	1 (1.0)	
3	4 (2.7)	1 (2.0)	3 (3.0)	
Best response first line (%):				0.61
CR	101 (67.8)	35 (70.0)	66 (66.7)	
PR	9 (6.0)	2 (4.0)	7 (7.1)	
Stable	1 (0.7)	1 (2.0)	0 (0.0)	
Progression	14 (9.4)	4 (8.0)	10 (10.1)	
NA	24 (16.1)	8 (16.0)	16 (16.2)	
Chronic GVHD and NIH score (%):	47 (31.5)	13 (26.0)	34 (34.3)	0.24
Light	12 (8.1)	3 (6.0)	9 (9.1)	0.70
Mild	17 (11.4)	4 (8.0)	13 (13.1)	
Severe	11 (7.4)	4 (8.0)	7 (7.1)	
NA	109 (73.2)	39 (78.0)	70 (70.7)	

Table 1 - Acute and chronic GVHD in whole cohort and between groups

CPI: Check-Point inhibitor, GVHD: Graft Versus Host Disease, CR: Complete Response, PR: Partial Response, NA: Not Available, NIH : National Institute of Health.



 Figure 1 – Comparison of Cumulative incidence (CI) of acute GVHD ≥ grade II (left side) and severe acute GVHD (right side),

 between CPI injection <60 days and ≥ 60 days before allo-SCT</td>

CPI: Check-Point inhibitor, GVHD: Graft Versus Host Disease, allo-SCT: allogeneic Stem Cell Transplantation

### Figure 1

https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2023-184836